UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,205	08/04/2005	Peter Eduard Neerincx	ARSI-006	3209
	7590 01/23/200 FIELD & FRANCIS LI	EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVERS	SITY AVENUE	NICHOLS II, ROBERT K		
SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4133	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/518,205	NEERINCX ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT K. NICHOLS II	4133
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The string This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and application = 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ The dra	rawn from consideration. I/or election requirement. ner.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/518,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 4133

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Bellows Pump System.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4-22 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-22 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Daniel Crosnier (US Patent No. 5,267,673).

Regarding claim 1, Crosnier discloses an "assembly of bellows part and coacting part" as a dosing device in figure 3.

The assembly of Crosnier includes a "bellows part with a flexible wall" as a inner part 1 disclosed in column 2 lines 29-31 of "predetermined shape and thickness" disclosed in column 1 lines 49-57, which co-operates with the co-acting part as a cap 2 disclosed in column 2 lines 37-42, which comprises a "stiff outer wall along which the flexible wall is movable" disclosed in column2 lines 29-36.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,205 Page 3

Art Unit: 4133

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 2, and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel Crosnier (US Patent No. 5,267,673) in view of James Boyd (Pub. Strength of Materials)
- 6. With respect to claim 2, Crosnier discloses an assembly of claim 1 wherein the "co-acting part is a part against which unrolling takes place" disclosed in column 3 lines 34-36 and "wherein the stiff outer wall has a predetermined diameter and/ or the flexible wall has a predetermined thickness variation" disclosed in column 2 lines 43-66

Crosnier fails to disclose that the "predetermined diameter and/or thickness" are to cause a desired development of force". Boyd discloses "diameter variation" and/or thickness variation" in a body from force as deformation disclosed on page 5 section 7.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to relate "flexible wall" size and/or thickness to the "development of force" from applied force.

7. With respect to claim 3, Crosnier discloses an "assembly as claimed in claim 1 or 2" in figure 1 wherein the "flexible wall" 1 is partially turned back and wherein "a turned-

back edge" 10 as a annular part disclosed in column 2 lines 43-47 is "arranged on an outer end thereof for the purpose of absorbing a pressure force." Crosnier fails to disclose the purpose of the back edge being arranged on the outer end. Boyd discloses a materials elastic limit on page 6 section 8 as the ability for a body to return to its original shape when a load is removed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to place the "flexible wall" with a greater elastic limit were there is pressure for the purpose of absorbing the pressure force and returning to its shape for continuous pump usage.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bougamont (US-5,788,124), Bauer (US-4,347,953), Rossignol (US-7,213,726) show other bellows pump devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT K. NICHOLS II whose telephone number is (571)270-5312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frantz Coby can be reached on 571-272-4017. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,205 Page 5

Art Unit: 4133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frantz Coby/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161

/Robert K Nichols II/ Examiner, Art Unit 4133

01/17/08